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Resumo
Introdução: As pessoas com esquizofrenia têm um risco acrescido de mortalidade ao mesmo tempo que recebem menos cuidados paliativos, dos quais o planeamento antecipado de cuidados é um componente essencial. O objetivo desta revisão é reunir as provas disponíveis relativamente ao planeamento antecipado de cuidados em contexto paliativo em pessoas com esquizofrenia, os seus obstáculos e as boas práticas a serem seguidas.

Método: Revisão sistemática da literatura qualitativa ao longo dos últimos 20 anos relativamente à utilização de planeamento antecipado de cuidados em cuidados paliativos ou de fim de vida em pessoas com esquizofrenia.

Resultados: Sete artigos foram incluídos na revisão. Por análise temática foram identificados cinco temas, nomeadamente, a capacidade de decisão em doenças mentais graves, as particularidades do planeamento antecipado de cuidados na pessoa com esquizofrenia, as características da esquizofrenia que afetam o planeamento antecipado dos cuidados, comunicação e formação, e a multidisciplinaridade e continuidade de cuidados.

Conclusão: É urgentemente necessária mais investigação nesta área. Os pacientes enfrentam obstáculos relacionados com a sua doença mental, desconforto e dúvida por parte dos profissionais de saúde e falta de figuras de apoio. Uma abordagem multidisciplinar e colaborativa, a formação e o reconhecimento da capacidade inerente dos pacientes para fazerem escolhas e terem discussões eficazes sobre o seu estado de saúde, facilita o processo de planeamento antecipado de cuidados.

Abstract
Introduction: People with schizophrenia suffer a higher risk of mortality and at the same time receive less palliative care, of which advance care planning is a key element. This review aims to gather available evidence regarding the use of advance care planning in palliative care in people with schizophrenia, its obstacles and the best practices that should be followed.

Methodology: A systematic review of the literature over the past 20 years, with a qualitative focus, on the use of advance care plans for people with schizophrenia and in end-of-life or palliative situations.

Results: Seven articles were included in the review. Five themes were identified from the thematic analysis, namely decision capacity in severe mental illness, peculiarities of advance care planning in people with schizophrenia, characteristics of schizophrenia that can affect advance care planning, communication and training, and multidisciplinarity and continuity of care.

Conclusion: More research in this area is urgently needed. Patients face obstacles related to their mental illness, discomfort and doubt from health professionals, and lack of supportive figures. A multidisciplinary and collaborative approach, training and recognition of patients’ inherent ability to make choices and to have effective discussions about their health status, facilitate the process of advance care planning.
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INTRODUCTION

a. Rationale for the review - theoretical and practical relevance

Advance care planning (ACP) can be defined as a process that supports patients in understanding and establishing goals, plans and preferences for their future, with regard to decisions on medical treatment and health care, compatible with their life choices and values. This process can be initiated at any stage of life, regardless of health status, and may include the naming of other people meaningful to the individual who may in the future participate, or replace the individual, in the decision-making process. Advance care planning implies discussing choices and objectives with health professionals, family, and caregivers, and may have its ideal timing when the patient’s health status worsens; all decisions should be recorded.

Advance care planning can include, but goes beyond, the concept of the advance directive (AD), which is a document with legal value, defining specific orders of treatment and medical intervention (e.g. orders not to resuscitate). Advance care planning is a key element of integrated palliative care provision, allowing the patient to decide, while still having the capacity to do so, on treatments and other future choices, including those related to his death. Early decisions avoid unnecessary end-of-life interventions and value individual patient choices and preferences. The respect for, and the early integration into the palliative process of, the wishes and choices of patients and their families is, together with the individual adaptation of care and the integration of the palliative approach into health systems, one of the three key themes of the palliative approach identified by Sawatzky and colleagues in a recent literature review. The research we present next shows that people with schizophrenia receive less, and worse, palliative care compared to their peers without schizophrenia. However, the specific issue of advance care planning per se is often not directly addressed in the literature.

Schizophrenia affects about 0.75% to 1% of the population. Recent data indicate a median lifetime prevalence of 7.49 per 1000 of the population suffering from primary psychoses. Schizophrenia is a chronic, disabling and incurable disease that results in a decrease in the life expectancy of patients by as much as 10 to 20 years. The causes of mortality in these patients are suicide and physical diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular, respiratory, liver and endocrine diseases. These patients tend to remain on the margins of health care and exhibit risky behaviors such as smoking, substance abuse, poor diet and sedentariness. Studies show that these patients seek between two and three times less physical health care, have difficulty in making lifestyle changes, do not value their health problems and experience little collaboration between their mental and physical care providers. Faced with an equivalent risk of cancer, patients with schizophrenia are less screened for cancer, die more and receive less chemotherapy, radiotherapy and palliative care than the general population. The fact that they are often isolated from society and health services makes it difficult to identify the need for palliative care at the end-of-life or in the face of chronic progressive and disabling illness. Canadian studies show that these patients tend to die in nursing homes rather than hospital services, and are less likely to receive end-of-life care, be followed by specialists (other than their psychiatrists) or receive appropriate analgesia. People with schizophrenia at the end-of-life are about half as likely to receive palliative care as other patients and are generally not considered fit to make advanced end-of-life decisions, even when in fact they are. A recent Australian study found that in a population of patients who died of cancer, only 27.5% of those with schizophrenia had access to community palliative care, versus 40.4% of those without.

There are several factors that make it difficult for a patient with schizophrenia to access palliative care. Relyea et al mention the stigma of mental illness and the tendency to attribute physical symptoms to the schizophrenia, difficulties in understanding the diagnosis, difficulties in making decisions, social isolation of the patient, and difficulty in being admitted to a palliative or medical service when one has a mental illness. Factors such as being homeless, having little family or caregiver support, difficulties in establishing trusting relationships with unfamiliar health professionals, and symptoms of the psychotic illness can make it difficult both to access and to accept palliative care. Best practice implies the collaboration between various medical specialties, psychiatry, social services, and caregivers, as well as well the early initiation of palliative care. Psychosocial interventions, complex pharmacological management, interdisciplinary and family collaboration, and setting of care goals are best practices identified for these patients. The inability of these patients to make decisions in a palliative context should not be presumed. An inclusive multi-specialized approach that addresses the physical and mental illnesses, lifestyles, and substance use, should be used. Patients with schizophrenia may be able to decide on analgesia and end-of-life care preferences. Foti et al conclude that patients with severe mental illness generally choose the same options for themselves as they would for others in hypothetical palliative settings, and therefore care planning and future options using imaginary situations may facilitate the patient’s reflection. However, the
expression of these decisions by psychiatric patients is rare. In a study of a small group of 150 severe psychiatric patients, 66% of whom had schizophrenia, only 27% of patients had thought of advance care planning for future medical situations, and only 5% had discussed it with a doctor.37 Cai and colleagues,38 in a quantitative study of residents of nursing homes in the United States show that only 57% of residents with severe mental illness (schizophrenia and other psychoses and bipolar disorder) had any kind of advanced directive, as opposed to 68% of those who did not have mental illness. The authors concluded that the most likely reason was a bias by health professionals who presumed a basic incapacity of patients with mental illness to discuss these issues.

OBJECTIVES
In view of these data, it seemed pertinent to study the use of advance planning of care in this population at risk. We pretended to identify factors that may be barriers to advance care planning and gather indications of best practices for their use.

STARTING POINT
What evidence is there about the use of advance care planning for people with schizophrenia who are at the end of their life, or receiving or being referred for palliative care, due to physical, advanced, incurable and progressive illness?

a. Specific objectives
To synthesize the existing evidence on the use of advance care planning in people with schizophrenia and in palliative situations
To identify the obstacles that may exist to the use of advance care planning in these patients
To identify the best practices to be followed for the use of advance care planning in patients with schizophrenia and in palliative situations

METHODS
a. Search Descriptors
A comprehensive search was conducted of the following databases: b-On, Polytechnic Institute of Castelo Branco Bibliographic Catalogue and Scientific Repository, ClinicalKey, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Directory of Open Access Journals – DOAJ, OAISter, Open Grey, Prospero, PubMed and PubMed Central, Open Access Scientific Repository of Portugal – RCAAP, Scopus, and Web of Science – all data bases, including Medline. The b-On, Scopus and Web of Science databases were accessed on the EBSCO platform through the library of the Polytechnic Institute of Castelo Branco (IPCB), where the author is a master’s degree student in palliative care. The PROSPERO and Cochrane databases were searched with the purpose of confirming if a similar review work existed.

The selected articles from the database searches were also searched manually, and bibliographic references considered potentially relevant were obtained. The search was anchored to the following three search terms/concepts: “Palliative care; advance care plan; schizophrenia”.

For each of these terms the following English language synonyms or equivalent terms were also added:
1. palliative care OR end-of-life care OR terminal care OR dying OR hospice OR end-of-life
2. advance care plan OR acp OR advance-care plan OR end-of-life plan OR terminal care plan OR end-of-life plan OR terminal-care plan
3. schizophrenia OR psychosis OR psychoses OR psychotic disorder OR schizophrenic disorder OR severe mental illness

The automatic search was done for the 20-year period from 1999 to 2019. In databases where it was not possible to define the time period, the entire database was searched. An additional search on the Google search engine was done up the end of March 2020. Appendix 1 provides further details of the search methodology used.

d. PICOD (population, intervention, comparison, outcome, study design)

i. Population
Inclusion: The population of interest were adults with schizophrenia or related diseases such as schizoaffective disease or primary delusional disorders (but not dementia, not affective diseases, not substance related), and who were receiving or had indication for palliative care, or who were at the end of their lives (last 12 months of expected life) due to the presence of an advanced, incurable and progressive physical illness.
Exclusion: Minors under 18, psychiatric illnesses other than schizophrenia or related diseases.

ii. Intervention
The objective was to identify factors that influence the use or not of advance care planning, and the best practice for its use in this population.
Inclusion: Studies in which advance care planning was explicitly addressed in adults in end-of-life/palliative care and those with schizophrenia or related illnesses.
Exclusion: Studies where advance care planning is not explicitly addressed.

iii. Comparison
Does not apply.

iv. Outcome
Practices, processes and interventions addressing advanced care planning in palliative care for people with schizophrenia; obstacles to these processes, opinions, experiences and practices of patients, caregivers and health professionals.
v. Design
The emphasis was on qualitative studies, but grey literature and other relevant scientific publications with a qualitative focus would also be considered.

f. General inclusion and exclusion criteria
Inclusion: qualitative focus studies, on adults over 18 years of age, diagnosed with schizophrenia or diseases of this spectrum; in English or Portuguese. Studies or papers with available abstract or accessible full text.
Exclusion: all other mental illnesses. In the case of dual pathology, where substance dependence is the main diagnosis. Studies where the palliative approach is for the mental illness itself and not because of a physical, advanced, incurable and progressive illness. Non-qualitative works or without a qualitative component capable of direct analysis.

g. Data Collection
After searching the databases all citations were uploaded to the EndNote Web programme (https://access.clarivate.com/login?app=endnote) and duplicates and irrelevant results eliminated. The remaining titles and abstracts were sorted based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The review followed the PRISMA flowchart process (http://www.prisma-statement.org), illustrated in Fig. 1. The data extracted were the identification of the study/article, the principal author, year of publication, country of origin, biographical characteristics of patients and diagnosis, type of study, approaches identified in the use of advance care planning, obstacles identified to the use of advance care planning, and best practices suggested for advance care planning.

h. Risk of bias assessment (quality assessment)
We chose to evaluate non-systematic reviews with the CASP – Review, since in our opinion, even without systematization, this was the most appropriate instrument to use. Each item was rated on a scale from 0 to 20, and each was assigned a low (0-6) medium (7-13) or high (14-20) quality score. The individual scores are presented in Appendix 2.

We recognize as weaknesses and risk of bias in our paper there being a single author doing the screening, the selection of papers and the data analysis. We recognize as a weakness of our study not having access to the EMBASE database, although this is partially mitigated by the fact that the Scopus and ClinicalKey databases are from the same publisher, Elsevier, and share some data sources with EMBASE.

i. Data Analysis
The qualitative data was summarized using a thematic approach. The overarching themes were determined by inductive method following the analytical and careful reading of the selected papers and studies.

RESULTS

a. Characteristics of studies
Seven studies\textsuperscript{29,36,39-43} were selected for inclusion in the systematic review, whose characteristics are presented in Table 1. Of the 156 articles obtained by database searches and manual search, 40 were selected for full text analysis. Of these, 33 were excluded for not meeting eligibility criteria, the most frequent cause being not directly addressing advance care planning (n=15). See Fig. 1.

Of the seven final articles, two are systematic reviews,\textsuperscript{39,40} two are non-systematic reviews,\textsuperscript{36,43} one is a qualitative study with thematic analysis,\textsuperscript{29} one is a mixed qualitative - quantitative paper\textsuperscript{41} and one is a case report.\textsuperscript{42}

Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of the articles included in the analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Main results</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
<th>CASP Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hanan, Lyons, 2020</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Severe persistent Mental Illness (SPMI)</td>
<td>Absence of a representative, difficulties or doubts with decision capacity interfere with hospice care. Discussions on ACP should be early.</td>
<td>Systematic review.</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods et al, 2008</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>SPMI</td>
<td>Need for more research. Four critical areas: capacity to decide and ACP, access to care, care provision, vulnerability. SPMI factors make care difficult. Difficulty of palliative care professionals in establishing relationships with patients. SPMI can make decisions and participate in ACP. A consensus approach is necessary.</td>
<td>Systematic review.</td>
<td>Not exclusively schizophrenia.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b. Quality of articles

Of the seven articles, three were of medium quality, and four of high quality. See Table 2.

Table 2. Evaluation of the quality of selected articles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>CASP Checklist</th>
<th>Quotation</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McNamara et al, 2018</td>
<td>Qualitative with thematic analysis</td>
<td>CASP-qualitative</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanan, Lyons, 2020</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>CASP-review</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods 2008</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>CASP-review</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shalev et al, 2017</td>
<td>Non-systematic review</td>
<td>CASP-review</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foti 2003</td>
<td>Mixed qualitative and quantitative</td>
<td>CASP-qualitative</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toor 2019</td>
<td>Qualitative case report</td>
<td>CASP-qualitative</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker 2005</td>
<td>Non-systematic review</td>
<td>CASP-review</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c. Overview of data
In-depth inductive analysis of the seven articles revealed five overarching themes relating to palliative care advance care planning in people with schizophrenia.

d. Decision-making capacity in severe mental illness
Issues relating to the ability of patients to decide were raised by four articles.36,39,40,42 All stressed that it should not be assumed a priori that mental illness implies an inability to decide, and state that this ability is generally present. Toor42 warns of the risk of interpreting the symptoms of mental illness as an indication of an inability to make decisions. Nevertheless, the possibility of psychotic illness or its decompensation, with the presence of psychotic symptoms hindering or preventing the ability to make decisions, is a concern that palliative care professionals see as an obstacle to advance care planning.36,39,40,42

The existence of a representative or decision-maker who helps the patient or eventually replaces him/her with decisions, is considered to be of the greatest utility.39,39,40 The absence of an advance care plan and/or representatives puts patients at risk of being subjected to unwanted procedures40 and excessive invasive interventions at the end-of-life.39 A particular issue is when there are legal limitations imposed on the patient with schizophrenia, which limit his or her decision-making autonomy.41

e. Characteristics of advance care planning in this patient population
Advance care planning must be early, repeated throughout the palliative process and happen before the critical phases of the terminal illness.36,39 Woods40 emphasizes the use of appropriate methods and processes for early planning and decision making for people with psychotic illnesses, referring to the central work of Foti,32,37,41,42 using hypothetical scenarios of end-of-life situations and structured questionnaires to assist in decision making. The link between early directives for psychiatric treatment and early directives for physical treatment in the palliative context is mentioned. Shalev and colleagues refer to the usefulness of having advanced directives that spell out not only the choices of psychiatric but also physical treatments that the patient agrees to in the future.36 However, even when there are practice protocols that indicate the obligatory discussion of advance plans or advance directives, these discussions do not take place with mental patients41 and the low rate of implementation of advanced psychiatric directives corresponds to a low implementation of anticipated medical directives.43 The role of mental health professionals who know the patient and have an established relationship of trust with him/her is fundamental in palliative care planning.29,42 They should help patients create future care plans for both their mental and physical illness.45

f. Characteristics of schizophrenia that can affect advance care planning
Hospitalization is often a disturbing period for patients with psychoses and may not be the best time to have discussions about advance care planning and decisions about the future,39 hence the importance of having these discussions early in the clinical course and taking advantage of periods of stability of the psychiatric illness.40 There is also (unfounded) fear among palliative care professionals that discussions about end-of-life situations may cause decompensation of the psychiatric illness.40,41 Shalev et al raise concerns that the possibility that psychotic patients may come to need physical restrictions or sedation in the management of decompensation of their disease, and this may pose ethical questions in palliative care and prevent the creation of advance plans and directives.36 Among the characteristics of schizophrenia that can impede advance planning are the disorganization of thinking that is part of the disease, especially in situations of acute decompensation or symptomatic chronicity,39 and the difficulty people with schizophrenia have in adhering to treatment plans and complying with medical instructions and appointments.40 People with schizophrenia have difficulty in establishing trusting relationships and therapeutic alliances, not only in general, but especially with new health care professionals.39,40 Hence the importance of including the mental health team in advance care planning, who are familiar figures and have an established relationship with the patient.29,41,43 The frequent breakdown of relations between patients with schizophrenia and their relatives, with the absence of caregivers or representatives, and social isolation, is seen as an obstacle to advance care planning.41 It is therefore essential attend to the social and cultural particularities of the schizophrenic patient.35

g. Communication and training
The importance of communication seems to be implicitly present in every article, in the issues of decision-making capacity and in the importance of having a representative, a family member or other caregiver involved. Its importance in the dialogue with the patient and relevant persons is explicitly mentioned as a facilitator of advance care planning in two articles.29,42 Foti makes the point that there is a need for effective and regular communication between all mental health and palliative care workers involved in patient care, joint case discussions, and the greater need for cross-training, with mental health professionals generally lacking palliative care training and palliative care specialists lacking mental health training.41

Multidisciplinarity and continued care
The authors stress the need for mental health professionals who treated the patient previously to be involved in care planning and not to break the already-existing therapeutic relationship.41,42 The involvement of all health professionals and social services should be of a collaborative nature, including both the patient and caregivers.29 Multidisciplinarity implies good working relations between palliative and mental health teams, joint case discussion and collaboration in care planning.29,41-43
DISCUSSION

h. Data Summary
The five themes taken from the revised articles - decision making capacity, particular features of advance care planning in people with schizophrenia, the impact of schizophrenia on advance care planning, communication and training, multidisciplinarity and continuity of care - inform us what obstacles and facilitators of advance care planning in these patients exist, and what best practices should be followed.

The identified obstacles include the preconceived ideas of these patients’ abilities to make important decisions about treatment and not being able to understand or deal with information about their terminal illness. Added to this is the fear that emotionally charged conversations may cause worsening of the mental illness. Equally present is the tendency to equate psychotic symptoms with an inability to decide. However, periods of hospitalization due to worsening physical illness and times of worsening psychiatric illness are obstacles to the process of creating an advance care plan.

The absence of a representative or caregiver and the breakdown of family relationships, are seen as fundamental obstacles to the creation of an advance care plan. The lack of advanced psychiatric care planning or psychiatric advance directives is also associated with the absence of an advance care plan or medical advance directives. Another obstacle identified is the discomfort that mental health teams feel in dealing with the palliative needs of their patients and, in parallel, the discomfort of palliative care teams in dealing with patients with severe mental illness.

Patients with schizophrenia find it difficult to establish relationships of trust with health professionals, have difficulty in joining treatment programmes and may be subject to legal measures that limit their autonomy, which make it difficult to create an advance care plan.

Facilitating elements of advance care planning for the schizophrenic patient in palliative care are the early recognition that in general these patients have the same ability to decide about future treatments and options as any other patient. The existence of a representative or significant figure for the patient is of the utmost importance, and here the role of the mental health team that knows the patient well and with whom there is a prior bond of trust, is highlighted.

The existence of advance directives or advance planning for psychiatric illness, and the addressing of palliative issues by the mental health team, facilitates the creation of advance medical plans. The stabilization phases of mental illness should be used as ideal times for discussions about advance care planning.

Training in both palliative care and mental illness for the health teams treating the patient, such as joint case discussions and effective communication between all professionals and specialties, facilitates discussions on palliative care with the patient and the creation of advance care plans. Best practice for the schizophrenic patient in palliative care should include early and repeated discussion of future end-of-life decisions and plans, the participation of representatives and caregivers, and the proactive participation of the mental health team in discussions on advance care planning.

Joint monitoring between palliative care and mental health professionals and maintaining the patient’s therapeutic link with his mental health team is desirable, as is continuous and cross-training between palliative care and mental health teams. These teams should maintain optimal working and collaborative relationships and joint discussion of cases, between themselves and with the patient.

Ideally, advance care plans should be comprehensive and holistic and encompass the care of both mental and physical illnesses.

i. Limitations of the revision
Qualitative studies do not emphasize generalizing their data, but rather seek to identify and validate existing ideas and concepts in current practice.

Even so, our review contains important limitations that need to be considered. First, it results from small number of articles - seven. All of them are from English-speaking countries; one Australian, two Canadian and the rest from the United States, which limits the applicability of the results to other countries, cultures and health systems. For example, in Portugal, the use of advanced psychiatric directives or advance planning of psychiatric care, along the lines of palliative care practice, is not common practice.

Fotì’s work in this area appears in several of the articles, namely Hanan et al,32,37,41 Shalev et al,32, 37 and Woods et al.32,41 There are also cross references between articles - Shalev et al cites Mcnamara et al, and Toor and Woods et al cite Baker. This situation causes considerable endogamy among the articles that should advise caution to the reader.

The dearth of studies dedicated to patients with schizophrenia means that only two of our seven articles deal exclusively with schizophrenia.29,36 Toor described two patients with chronic schizoaffective disorder, who nevertheless have a very similar disorder. The remaining four articles dealt with patients with severe persistent mental illness (SPMI), a definition that encompasses several pathologies. However, in all the articles there was specific mention of including people with schizophrenia in their SPMI definition, and it was possible to assess the authors’ specific findings pertaining to these patients, giving us some confidence in our analysis. It is estimated that 90% of patients classified as SPMI have schizophrenia.44

j. Implications for future research
Qualitative research on care planning for schizophrenic and palliative patients is very scarce. It seems urgent to us that this area be investigated, both to determine the most appropriate processes to make advance care planning the norm for this population, and to identify the obstacles and facilitators to this goal. Generally, advance care planning for these patients is approached only indirectly in the literature, through considerations of decision-making capacity. However, there is a lack of studies directed at process factors, obstacles, facilitators, and best practices to be adopted, specific to early planning of care for the end-of-life patient with schizophrenia.
It is important to investigate the role of mental health professionals at this stage of their patients’ lives, so that there is no breakdown in the therapeutic relationship, as they may be the ones in the best position to initiate the care planning process. It is necessary to investigate how to cross-train mental health and palliative care teams to best deal with these patients.

It should be a priority to include people with psychiatric illnesses, particularly schizophrenia, whether or not they be in a palliative situation, in investigations, and to hear what their needs and difficulties are.

Although the diseases included in the group of severe persistent mental illness have many clinical similarities among themselves,\(^ {\text{45,46}}\), it is still a heterogeneous group in which joint analysis may not be appropriate.\(^ {\text{47}}\) The definition of SPMI is highly dependent on the degree of dysfunction and duration of the disease,\(^ {\text{46,48}}\), rather than disease type.\(^ {\text{49}}\) Estimating the number of adults with severe and persistent mental illness who have complex’ multi-agency needs\(^ {\text{50}}\) and may not take adequate account of the clinical and psychological specificities of the person with schizophrenia with respect to an end-of-life and palliative care situation. We believe that there is a need for research aimed exclusively at patients with schizophrenia.

**CONCLUSION**

Advance planning of care for patients with schizophrenia and palliative care needs faces obstacles resulting from the characteristics of mental illness, social and relational factors, and difficulties of health professionals in dealing with these patients. Mental health professionals may be in a privileged position to initiate and facilitate this whole process. There is an urgent need for training and collaboration between all professionals who accompany the patient in this phase of life, and to not neglect this fundamental element of quality palliative care provision.
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